

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
01/18/2002	Guy J. Labelle	. 38488.0200	8725
590 04/06/2005		EXAMINER	
SNELL & WILMER ONE ARIZONA CENTER		KALINOWSKI, ALEXANDER G	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHOENIX, AZ 850040001		3626	
	01/18/2002 590 04/06/2005 ILMER A CENTER N BUREN	01/18/2002 Guy J. Labelle 590 04/06/2005 ILMER A CENTER N BUREN	01/18/2002 Guy J. Labelle 38488.0200  590 04/06/2005 EXAM  KALINOWSKI, A  A CENTER  N BUREN  ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/051,645	LABELLE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alexander Kalinowski	3626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days a sill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 November 2004.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.					
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
o) Ciami(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list (	or the certified copies not received	a.			
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Linterview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·			

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-34 are presented for examination. Applicant amended claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 26 incorporating limitations found in previously pending claims 10 and 22. The Examiner withdraws the grounds of rejection of claims 1-34 based on Applicant's arguments and amendments. However, new grounds of rejection of claims 1-34 incorporating newly found prior art are established in the instant office action as set forth below.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-34 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 10-16, 19-27, and 29-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over information available from the web site of InsureMarket (hereinafter InsureMarket) in view of Hele et al., Pub No. 2002/0111835 (hereinafter Hele) and information available at the web site of amexinsurance (hereinafter Amexinsurance).

Art Unit: 3626

As to claim 1, InsureMarket discloses A network-based method for facilitating the dispensing of insurance (Quicken InsureMarket Home Page), the method being configured to:

receive policy information from a plurality of insurance companies, the policy information including at least insurance rates and underwriting guidelines (Quotes and Purchasing Page and How InsureMarket Works);

contract with insurance companies to sell the companies' insurance policies in accordance with the policy information (How InsureMarket works);

receive data via the network from a customer, the data including at least identification of the desired type of insurance and parameters applicable to the underwriting guidelines (i.e. purchase policies)(Quicken InsureMarket Home Page and );

provide to the customer via the network, insurance rates for insurance policies from a plurality of competing insurance companies, the insurance company offering each policy being identified ((Quotes and Purchasing Page);

receive from the customer via the network instructions to purchase an insurance policy (i.e. purchase policies)(Quicken InsureMarket Home Page);

receive a payment or a promise to pay (i.e. purchase screen)(How InsureMarket Works) InsureMarket does not explicitly disclose

facilitate the electronic distribution of proof of coverage to the location of the customer.

However, Hele discloses facilitate the electronic distribution of proof of coverage to the location of the customer(Fig. 3, units 72 and 74, paragraphs 49, 52, and 63). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's

Art Unit: 3626

invention to include facilitate the electronic distribution of proof of coverage to the location of the customer as disclosed by Hele within InsureMarket for the motivation of providing processing and underwriting of new applications for insurance over the Internet (paragraph 25).

Insuremarket and Hele do not explicitly disclose

The insurance policies providing coverage in a country other than the one in which the customer resides.

However, AmexInsurance discloses The insurance policies providing coverage in a country other than the one in which the customer resides (i.e. insurance programs for US residents travel to Mexico and Mexican residents travel to the US)(page 1 of 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include the aforementioned limitation as disclosed by Amexinsurance within Insuremarket and Hele for the motivation of providing fast and friendly insurance services with low prices (page 1)

As to claim 2, InsureMarket discloses The method according to Claim I wherein: the network is the Internet (Quicken InsureMarket Home Page); the data is input directly by the customer into a computer accessed by the customer (How InsureMarket works);

the instructions to purchase the insurance policy are input directly by the customer into a computer accessed by the customer(How InsureMarket works);

the payment or promise to pay is received via the Internet and input directly by the customer into a computer accessed by the customer (How InsureMarket works).

Art Unit: 3626

InsureMarket does not explicitly disclose

the electronic distribution includes printing at the location of the customer, via a printer accessed by the customer, a document providing proof of coverage.

However, Hele discloses providing a hardcopy of an electronic document via a printer (paragraph 132). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include the aforementioned limitation as disclosed by Hele within InsureMarket for the motivation of providing a hardcopy receipt of the transaction/agreement undertaken by the user (paragraph 132).

As to claim 3. InsureMarket does not explicitly disclose The system according to Claim 2:

the method being further configured to receive customers from insurance agents. However, Hele discloses the method being further configured to receive customers from insurance agents (paragraphs 27, 42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include the method being further configured to receive customers from insurance agents as disclosed by Hele within InsureMarket for the motivation stated in claim 1.

InsureMarket, Hele, and Amexinsurance do not explicitly disclose the insurance agents performing advertising; and

the advertising including at least registering with at least one search engine.

However, the Examiner takes official notice that it was well known in the electronic advertising arts to advertise registering with a search engine. The motivation would have been to obtain payment for services rendered. It would have been obvious

Control Namber: 10/051,0

Art Unit: 3626

to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include the insurance agents performing advertising; and

the advertising including at least registering with at least one search engine within InsureMarket, Hele, and Amexinsurance for the motivation stated above.

As to claim 6, InsureMarket discloses The method according to Claim 2, the policy information being received via the Internet (Quicken InsureMarket Home Page).

As to claim 7, InsureMarket discloses The method according to Claim 6, the contracting with insurance companies being via the Internet (How InsureMarket works).

As to claim 10, Insuremarket and Hele do not explicitly disclose

The insurance providing coverage in Mexico

However, Amexinsurance discloses The insurance providing coverage in Mexico (page 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include the aforementioned limitation as disclosed by Amexinsurance within Insuremarket and Hele for the motivation of providing fast and friendly insurance services with low prices (page 1).

As to claim 11, InsureMarket discloses The method according to Claim 1: the data being input into a computer on behalf of the customer; the instructions to purchase being input on behalf of the customer; the payment or promise to pay being received from the customer as explained for claim 1.

InsureMarket does not explicitly disclose

the electronic distribution includes, a document providing proof of coverage.

Art Unit: 3626

However, Hele discloses facilitate the electronic distribution of proof of coverage to the location of the customer(Fig. 3, units 72 and 74, paragraphs 49, 52, and 63). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include facilitate the electronic distribution of proof of coverage to the location of the customer as disclosed by Hele within InsureMarket for the motivation of providing processing and underwriting of new applications for insurance over the Internet (paragraph 25).

InsureMarket and Hele do not explicitly disclose an insurance agent inputting on behalf of a customer; the printing being via a printer controlled by the insurance agent, printing at the location of the customer; and the insurance agent giving the document to the customer.

However, the Examiner takes official notice that it was well known in the insurance arts to input policy information and print a copy of a chosen policy on behalf of an insured. The motivation was to assist the insured in obtaining coverage and providing a hardcopy of the agreement accepted by the insured. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include the aforementioned limitations within InsureMarket and Hele for the motivation stated above.

As to claim 12, InsureMarket discloses The method according to Claim 1, the insurance being automobile insurance (Quicken InsureMarket Home Page).

Art Unit: 3626

As to claim 13, InsureMarket discloses The method according to Claim 1, the insurance being specialty insurance (Quicken InsureMarket Home Page).

As to claims 14, 22, and 32, the claims are substantially similar in scope to claim 10 and are rejected on the same basis.

As to claims 15-21, and 23-34, the claims are substantially similar to claims 1-3, 6, 7, and 10-13 and are rejected on the same basis.

5. Claims 4-5, 8-9, 17-18 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over InsureMarket, Hele, and Amexinsurance as applied to claims 1, 15, and 27 above, and further in view of Freedman, Pub No. 2002/0002475.

As to claim 4, InsureMarket discloses the method according to Claim 3, the method being further configured to: paying the insurance companies premiums (How InsureMarket works).

InsureMarket, Hele, and Amexinsurance do not explicitly disclose paying the insurance agents commissions.

However, Freedman discloses pay the insurance agents commissions (paragraph 103). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include the aforementioned limitation as disclosed by Freedman within InsureMarket, Hele and Amexinsurance for the motivation of providing a method of providing Insurance coverage and claims processing to customers that achieve cost savings and efficiencies over conventional methods (paragraphs 10 and 11).

Art Unit: 3626

As to claim 5, InsureMarket discloses The method according to Claim 4: the policy information being received via the Internet (Quicken InsureMarket Home Page); and the contracting with insurance companies being via the Internet (Quicken InsureMarket Home Page).

As to claim 8, InsureMarket discloses The method according to Claim 7, the method being further configured to: store the policy information(How InsureMarket works);; store the data(How InsureMarket works);; and provide customer service (Customer Service).

InsureMarket, Hele, Amexinsurance do not explicitly disclose the customer service including at least notifying customers of changes in the policy information.

However, Freedman discloses the customer service including at least notifying customers of changes in the policy information (paragraph 142). ). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include the aforementioned limitation as disclosed by Freedman within InsureMarket, Hele, and Amexinsurance for the motivation stated in claim 4 above.

As to claim 9, InsureMarket, Hele, and Amexinsurance do not explicitly disclose The method according to Claim 8, the method being further configured to provide claims service.

Art Unit: 3626

However, Freedman discloses the method being further configured to provide claims service (paragraphs 123-125). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include the aforementioned limitation as disclosed by Freedman within InsureMarket, Hele, and Amexinsurance for the motivation stated in claim 4.

As to claims 17, 18, and 28, the claims are similar in scope to claims 4, 5, 8 and 9 and are rejected on the same basis.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Kalinowski, whose telephone number is (703) 305-2398. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9:00 AM to 6:30 PM. In addition, the examiner can be reached on alternate Fridays.

If any attempt to reached the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas, can be reached on (703) 305-9588. The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 305-7687 (for official communications including After Final communications labeled "Box AF").

Art Unit: 3626

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal

Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th Floor, receptionist.

Alexander Kalinowski

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3626

4/1/2005